



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Adress: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,599	02/09/2007	Yasuhiro Fujita	AOI-P41	8619
26793	7590	05/21/2010	EXAMINER	
LEIGHTON K. CHONG			ANDRISH, SEAN D	
PATENT ATTORNEY				
133 KAAI STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HONOLULU, HI 96821			3672	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		05/21/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/567,599	Applicant(s) FUJITA, YASUHIRO
	Examiner SEAN D. ANDRISH	Art Unit 3672

All Participants:**Status of Application:** _____(1) SEAN D. ANDRISH.

(3) _____.

(2) Leighton Chong.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5/11/10; 5/12/10**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

NA

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/David J. Bagnell/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3672

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A notice of allowance has been mailed and the issue fee has been paid for the present application. On 11 May 2010, Examiner contacted applicant to inform him that editorial changes to the specification are required before the patent can be published. Specifically, the Summary of the Invention section of the specification contains numerous references to specific claims, and each of the references to the claims should be removed prior to publication of the patent. For example, the phrase "as claimed in claim 1", as recited on lines 3 - 4 of page 3, should be deleted from the specification. The Summary of the Invention is replete with similar errors. Examiner requested that applicant file a 312 amendment to make the necessary changes to the specification. Examiner noted that the MPEP 714(I)(B) allows applicant to replace an entire section of the specification and, just as with replacement paragraphs, replacement sections must contain the appropriate markings to indicate subject matter that has been deleted from the specification. Applicant agreed to prepare the 312 amendment and file it electronically.

In a follow-up telephone call on 12 May, 2010, examiner informed applicant that applicant did not have to file a 312 amendment to amend the specification as discussed on 11 May 2010 because the examiner will generate a supplemental examiner's amendment to make the agreed upon changes to the specification.